

Determinants of Student's Success: the Role of Advanced Placement and Dual Enrollment Programs

Cecilia Speroni

Mathematica Policy Research National Center for Postsecondary Research



Evidence from Florida and California

- NCPR Study 1: Assess relative power of AP and DE for predicting students' college access (PSE enroll; First PSE at 4yr college) and success (5-yr BA degree)
- NCPR Study 2: Examine the causal effect of DE and the effect of DE college Algebra
- NCPR Study 3: Analyze associations between CTEfocused DE and students' outcomes, in the context of CA's Concurrent Courses Initiative




Florida AP and DE Background

Dual Enrollment		Advanced Placement	
Course experience	-Actual College Course -College credit: passing grade in course	-HS "college-level" course -College credit: satisfactory score in (optional) standardized exam	
Instructor qualification requirements	College faculty	Public school teacher	
Finance	State pays for FTE (both HS & college), fees, and books	State pays for AP course and books -AP exam fee reimbursement for all students -AP exam performance incentives (for teachers & districts)	



Florida Administrative Data

- Two public HS senior cohorts (2000 & 2001); aprox 230,000 students
 - Student transcripts in HS & college (till 2006)
 - National Student Clearinghouse data (enrollment only)
- Participation rate:



No AP or DE= 72%




Descriptive of AP & DE students

	DE only	AP only	DE&AP	None
Female	63.4%	56.8%	62.1%	47.9%
White	78.4%	59.3%	77.7%	50.3%
Minority (Black & Hispanic)	18.7%	35.6%	16.7%	47.5%
Economically disadvantaged	26.4%	28.2%	17.4%	50.5%
Reading scores (FCAT, 10 th)	325	334	346	289
Math scores (FCAT, 10 th)	334	344	357	299
DE course location				
DE at both Community College & High school	58.2%	n/a	62.3%	n/a
DE at Community College only	36.8%	n/a	33.2%	n/a
DE at High School only	5.1%	n/a	4.5%	n/a
Students	17,746	30,033	13,042	163,236



Study 1 (FL): Predictive effect of AP and DE




** Significant at 1%

Regression control for student characteristics (including prior measures of achievement:10th grade FCAT standardized scores and GPA), and high school and district characteristics.



Study 1 (FL): Predictive DE effect by location



- ** Significant at 1%
 - DE effect is driven by courses taken at the community college
 - Limitation: non-experimental study



Study 2 (FL): DE effect

- Florida's eligibility requirements for DE participation:
 - 3.0 un-weighted GPA and College Placement Test (CPT)
- Regression-discontinuity (RD) intuition: compare outcomes of students around eligibility cutoffs
 - Limitation: effect for students with a level of ability close to eligibility requirements
- Two RD analyses:
- 1) Effect of taking DE (basic), exploiting HS GPA cutoff
 - No evidence of an effect
- 2) Effect of DE college Algebra, exploiting CPT math cutoff
 - Large positive effect of DE-Algebra: increase of 16 percentage points on college enrollment and 23 percentage points on degree attainment (AA /BA), for students on the margin of eligibility



Study 3 (CA): Career-Technical DE

- Concurrent Courses Initiative (CCI): supported 8 CTE-focused
 DE Programs in CA
 - DE + Support services
 - Wide variation in CCI implementation across sites (DE rate, intensity of support services, location/instructor of DE course, Dual credit option)
- Data: Cal-PASS (voluntary, statewide data-collection effort)
 - 2009 & 2010 CCI cohorts, followed up to 2 years into college
- CCI DE participation positively related to:
 - High School graduation and 4-year college enrollment (though no effect on college enrollment)
 - College persistence and credits accumulated up to 2 years into college
 - Some heterogeneity of the effect across sites



Summary and Conclusions

- DE&AP are strong predictors of students' success, though programs are not equal predictors
- DE can have strong positive effects on college enrollment and completion, but <u>where</u> students take DE classes and <u>what</u> classes they take seem critical
- Integrating DE into CTE pathways seems a promising strategy for at-risk youth
- Future Research: use experimental design to establish causal relationships between participation and outcomes



Download event materials and learn more at

www.PostsecondaryResearch.org

NCPR IS FUNDED BY THE INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION SCIENCES OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and is a partnership of the Community College Research Center, Teachers College, Columbia University; MDRC; the Curry School of Education at the University of Virginia; and faculty at Harvard University.





